|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Issues** | **Date** |
| 1. | Bring back 13 pages of CIKM version | Done |
| 2. | Fixing big flaws Max-Min Greedy algorithm   * Sort based on diversity like top-1 which is implemented on Swap * Update minimum bound * Observe the pruning performance | 14 – 15, 18 June |
| 3. | Read more related works   * Fixing the reference format, especially the author name * Read more papers related to visualization recommendation systems * See what kind of used datasets * Read more papers related to query similarity (Edit distance) * Read more papers related to diversity * Read more papers related to PI, skewed distribution, etc | Parallel works  14 – 30 June |
| 4. | Looking for another dataset | 14 - 30 June |
| 5. | Studying and implementing KL Divergence distance to our experiments.   * Impact distance for pruning performance, it may have different performance compare to current approach. | 18, 19, 20 June |
| 6. | Looking for mathematically proven the maximum bound of Euclidean distance = √ 2 | 18 - 22 June |
| 7. | Max-sum and Max-min diversification | 18 - 22 June |
| **Meeting** | | |
| 8. | Observing impact of K of two DiVE schemes (Greedy and dSwap technique)   * Observe the impact of increasing K while the λ is constant to pruning performance | 25 – 29 June |
| 7. | Apply pruning on Flights dataset, update the total cost figure with the cost after pruning | 25 – 29 June |
| 9. | Rectifying bound mistake while running pruning schemes | 2 – 6 July |
| 11. | Understanding Swap complexity   * CPU and I/O cost especially for the dataset which has large number of attributes. * Calculating the number of distance computation on Swap algorithm | 9 – 13 July |
| 12. | Add more figures in the paper draft   * Paper should has more figures such as Figure to compared between Greedy and Swap | 9 – 13 July |